

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE EXPERIAN INFORMATION
SOLUTIONS CREDIT REPORTING
LITIGATION

No. C 16-05674 WHA
No. C 16-05676 WHA
No. C 16-05679 WHA
No. C 16-05694 WHA
No. C 16-05701 WHA
No. C 16-05704 WHA
No. C 16-06315 WHA
No. C 16-06335 WHA
No. C 16-06363 WHA
No. C 16-06372 WHA
No. C 17-00342 WHA
No. C 17-00343 WHA
No. C 17-00395 WHA
No. C 17-00397 WHA
No. C 17-00506 WHA


**ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**

_____ /

1 In ten of the above-captioned cases, a combined motion to dismiss is scheduled for
2 hearing on March 23. This particular action, *Bennett v. Experian*, 3:17-cv-00506-WHA, is not a
3 part of that combined motion. Defendants in this action, however, shall have until **28 DAYS**
4 after the Court rules on the aforementioned combined motion to answer or otherwise respond to
5 the complaint. The pending stipulated requests to extend the time for defendants Experian
6 Information Solutions, Inc., and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., to respond to the complaint (Dkt.
7 Nos. 14, 16) are **DENIED** as inconsistent with this order.

8
9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11 Dated: March 20, 2017.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE